





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

				<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/887,505	07/02/1997	ROBERT L. KILKUSKIE	HYZ-040CIP	1117	
759	90 06/05/2002				
HALE AND DORR			EXAMINER		
60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			TAYLOR, J	TAYLOR, JANELL E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634 DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	28	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

+		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/887,505	KILKUSKIE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Janell Cleveland Taylor	1634			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A	April 2002 .				
2a)☐	•	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-21,25,27-31 and 42-45 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-24 and 26</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,25,27-31 and 42-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🗀	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* S	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>04/2002</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152) tion .			





Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

The following Office Action is being made NON-FINAL. There was some confusion over which claims were pending and which were non-elected. Examiner thanks

Applicant for the interview of April 4, 2002 where these issues were clarified. As agreed in the interview, claims 42-45 will be examined in the following action. Because no new arguments were presented, no "Response to Arguments" section follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising at least two different oligonucleotides according to claim 2 in a pharmacologically acceptable carrier. However, claim 2 does not teach two different oligonucleotides. Claim 2 teaches only one oligonucleotide, which is complementary to two noncontiguous regions. Therefore, it is not clear what two different oligonucleotides claim 43 refers to. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of



Art Unit: 1634

paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4. Claims 1 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cha et al.

Claim 1 is drawn to a synthetic oligonucleotide complementary to a portion of the 5' untranslated region of Hepatitis C virus and having a sequence selected from the group which consists of SEQ ID NOS: 5-8, 14-16, 23-24, 26-29, 31, 33, 36-37, 47, and 68-133. Claim 44 is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising at least one oligonucleotide according to claim 1 and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier.

Cha et al. disclose SEQ ID NO: 126 which is identical to SEQ ID NO: 117 of the instant application. In particular, bases 10-29 of Cha are identical to bases 1-20 of the instant application. Therefore, Claim 1 is fully anticipated by Cha et al. Cha also teaches "the non-naturally occurring peptides of the present invention are useful as a component of a vaccine." (Col. 6, lines 11-12). Cha also teaches "The preparation of vaccines which contain an immunogenic peptide as an active ingredient, is known to one skilled in the art. Typically, such vaccines are prepared as injectables, either as liquid solutions or suspensions; solid forms suitable for solution in, or suspension in, liquid prior to injection may also be prepared...The active immunogenic ingredients are often mixed with excipients which are pharmaceutically acceptable and compatible with the active ingredient." (Col. 12, bridging col. 13). Cha et al. therefore fully anticipates claim 44.



Art Unit: 1634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-6, 8-20, 25, 27, 28, 30 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being disclosed by Hogan, et al in US Patent 5,424,413 in view of Maertens et al. (US Patent 5,846,704).

These claims are drawn to an oligonucleotide comprising a sequence complementary to at least two non-contiguous regions of an HCV mRNA or genomic RNA. Claim 43 is drawn to a pharmaceutical composition.

Hogan discloses a nucleic acid hybridization probe having at least one nucleic acid strand which has at least two separate target specific regions that hybridize to a target nucleic acid sequence. (See Abstract, Drawing 4A). This patent also discloses the use of modified oligonucleotides, as well as therapeutic applications for oligonucleotides (which may be used in pharmaceutical applications, see Cols. 25-26).

This patent does not disclose an HCV messenger or genomic RNA.

Maertens et al. disclose as their invention probes targeting sequences from the 5' untranslated region of HCV. (See Abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to target the probe of Hogan et al to an HCV messenger or genomic RNA because Maertens et al disclosed the importance of detecting HCV nucleic acids. It would have been prima facie obvious



Art Unit: 1634

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to carry out the claimed methods.

7. Claims 7, 31, 43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hogan et al. in view of Maertens et al. and further in view of Seki et al (CA2104649).

These claims are drawn to an oligonucleotide as described above, further comprising the specific recited sequences, including SEQ ID NO: 47 and 160. Claims 43 and 45 are drawn to pharmaceutical compositions.

The teachings of Hogan et al. and Maertens et al are discussed above.

These references do not disclose the specific nucleic acid sequence of the claims.

Seki et al. disclose an oligonucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 6) identical to instant SEQ ID NO: 47. Seki et al also disclose an oligonucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 229) identical to instant SEQ ID NO: 160. Seki et al. also discloses that these nucleic acids may be intravenously administered to human subjects (as a pharmaceutical composition.) (Page 16).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use probes containing the sequences of the cited references, or obvious variations thereof, in the oligonucleotides as discussed above because these would have clearly been useful in detecting HCV nucleic acids.



Art Unit: 1634

8. Claims 21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hogan et al. in view of Maertens et al. and further in view of Cha et al (USPN 6,071,693).

These claims are drawn to an oligonucleotide as described above, further comprising the specific recited sequences, including SEQ ID NOS: 122 and 117.

The teachings of Hogan and Maertens et al are discussed above.

These references do not disclose the specific nucleic acid sequence of the claims.

Cha et al. disclose an oligonucleotide (SEQ ID NO: 126), identical to instant SEQ ID NO: 122.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to use probes containing the sequences of the cited references, or obvious variations thereof, in the product as discussed above because these would have clearly been useful in detecting HCV nucleic acids.

Conclusion

Any inquiries of a general nature relating to this application, including information on IDS forms, status requests, sequence listings, etc. should be directed to the Patent Analyst, Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janell Taylor Cleveland, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0273.



90

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 08/887,505

Art Unit: 1634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission.

Papers should be faxed to Group 1634 via the PTO Fax Center using (703) 872-9306 or 872-9307 (after final). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG (November 15, 1989.)

Janell Taylor Cleveland

May 24, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600